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Introduction

The church is called to exist in the midst of a shifting world view that has moved from modernity to postmodernity (Oord, 2001; Spaulding 2001). Exegesis and contextualization of biblical truths must take into consideration the challenge of postmodernism with both its pros and cons. Theological reflection must understand the postmodern mind in order to make theology relevant to the time, while remaining true to biblical and theological principle, if there will be a serious attempt to impact the present world. So, what is postmodernism? What is postmodernity?

A Wikipedia article on postmodernity states,
Postmodernity is the state or condition of being postmodern (i.e., after or in reaction to what is modern), particularly in reference to postmodern art and postmodern architecture. In philosophy . . ., postmodernity more specifically refers to the state or condition of society which is said to exist after modernity. A related term is postmodernism, which refers to movements, philosophies or responses to the state of postmodernity, or in reaction to modernism (¶ 3).

Weiss and Wesley (2001) stated, “One of the essential elements of Postmodernism is that it is an attack against theory and methodology. . . However, there are two methodologies characteristic of Postmodernism. These methodologies are interdependent in that interpretation is inherent in Deconstruction” (¶ 25).

This paper explores the idea that understanding postmodernism and incorporating a theistic worldview with redeemable aspects of postmodernism can bring about an effective relevancy to theological education. This important concept needs unpacking.

A Visual Representation of Postmodernism

In the Conference of Educators in Trinidad (2004), a version of the following chart that was presented demonstrated the shift in worldview from 1700 to the present. The chart also provided an initial insight into the implications for the church’s response to postmodernity. For each of the doctrinal areas listed on the left of the chart, there is an explanation of what the Theistic, Modern, and Postmodern worldviews emphasized. For example, God was at the center
in a theistic world, human thought was at the center in a modern worldview, and the individual (even though related to the modern worldview) is at the center in a postmodern worldview.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CENTER</td>
<td>God</td>
<td>Rationalism</td>
<td>Individual/Subjective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRUTH</td>
<td>Absolute; Revealed in Bible</td>
<td>Absolute; Discovered (Science and Reason)</td>
<td>Relative; Community Based</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KNOWLEDGE</td>
<td>Leads to God; Helps us to reflect His character</td>
<td>Is certain, good, objective and is used to control nature</td>
<td>Tentative, good and bad; cooperative; includes intuition and emotion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOD</td>
<td>Good, holy, personal; Ruler of all</td>
<td>Distant, removed, irrelevant; Figment</td>
<td>Many gods, including the individual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NATURE</td>
<td>Created by and dependent upon God</td>
<td>Eternal and Evolutionary</td>
<td>Deconstructive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HISTORY</td>
<td>Purpose and direction</td>
<td>Cause and effect with no purpose but with direction</td>
<td>Pleasure seeking with direction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUMANITY</td>
<td>Created in the image of God</td>
<td>Combination of physical elements</td>
<td>Spiritual and relational</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHURCH</td>
<td>Fortress/Bulwark of Truth</td>
<td>Creation of non-scientific crutch</td>
<td>Community; Familial relationships</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MISSION</td>
<td>Global</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Local</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OUTLOOK</td>
<td>Hopeful</td>
<td>Optimistic</td>
<td>Guardedly pessimistic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THEOLOGICAL TASK</td>
<td>Codification and certification of biblical authority/Truth</td>
<td>Rational, scientific critique of religious/biblical tradition</td>
<td>Seeking relational/spiritual aspects of life for practical application</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The Challenge of Relevancy*

Corson (1998) looked at the concept of diversifying education to meet the needs of populations that have become diverse. He argued that if there is relevancy to the question that diversity is educationally relevant, there must be provisions for meeting the demands of diversity.

The writer of this paper believes that the entrapments of advocacy for homogeneous units of like ethnicity, color, and race to interact are no longer a viable option for educational systems to be successful as learning environments. The shifting worldview that now reflects postmodernity creates a ripe environment for education to become inclusive. Postmodernity
allows for a world that is interconnected in relation to the desire to explore and incorporate elements from different cultural practices. Perhaps (and it may be too early in this piece to conclude that), the uneducated ones at present or in the near future will be the ones who have been deprived of a rich diversified education. Corson wrote, “In this new world [of postmodernity], many more voices are being raised, including the voices of those who were once disposed; these voices are bringing a surprisingly different range of messages to policy makers and practitioners alike” (p. 2).

There is a paradox within which education and educators function. This paradox is captured in the following quote from Corson:

On the one hand, in this new world of postmodernity, human diversity is being recognized at last. On the other hand, people’s real sociocultural identities have little value in the marketplace of that new world. As a consequence, wherever the values and interests of schools are linked tightly into that marketplace, students and teachers from diverse backgrounds find that their interests are still missing from education (p. 4).

The shift must be education that is integrated by including diversity through the dominant culture and sub-cultures in curriculum design and instruction for effective education. Apple and Beane (1998) wrote, “Census figures display growing cultural diversity while pressure is applied to keep the curriculum within the narrow boundaries of the Western cultural tradition” (p.3). Can education be truly viewed as education, when content from various sources is excluded? This is the key question that begs for an answer.

In this same vein Corson calls for liberation from oppressive structures that breathe of exclusivism. He wrote, “Schools play a key role in ‘social and cultural reproduction’. [And] schools are places where most of a culture’s dominant discourses are passed around and passed on” (p. 10).

If, as Corson believed, education is wrapped in economics, then the dominant group in culture dictates the curriculum in schools. Labeling, association of groups by certain designations, and exclusion of weaker sub-cultures are all emerging subjects that become the themes of particular education. In such an environment, education cannot function at its best because the true culture of the general populace is not represented. Disenchantment, aggression, and dropout all form part of the noticeable outcomes of educational environments. In sync with these thoughts, Corson wrote, “against this background, it is hard to escape the conclusion that
the power of formal education is socially unjust (p.11).

This fits with my concept of integrating education by including both dominant cultures and sub-cultures in curriculum design and instruction. This should include issues of language, cultural understandings, learning particularities, etc. There will be need for training educators in cultural sensitivity and diversity, language acquisition, and cultural immersion. It will call for an integration in which educators and persons from other cultural understandings are evenly spread. Integration calls for a mixing of cultural perspective so that the true tapestry of interwoven cultures is brought together to produce a magnificent quilt of educational diversity that is rich and colorful! Freire (2000) helped in this regard when he stated that “Problem-posing education, responding to the essence of consciousness—intentionality—rejects communiqués and embodies communication” (p. 74).

Corson “suggests that ‘emancipatory leadership’ is a good way for teachers and administrators to exercise power in contexts of diversity” (p. 15). I suggest, using Corson’s words, if integration is fully considered “everyone’s point of view and interpretation of the world would be consulted [and] everyone’s interests would be taken into account when shaping the dominant narratives through which the distributions of power, position, and privilege were accounted for and justified” (p. 19).

Even though the above discussion centers on public education, it provides insight for the church’s theological education concerns. Diversity is a challenge of relevancy in theological education. Relevancy calls for contextualization of the message and incorporation of redemptive narrative to explain and apply theology within any context. Relevancy takes on specific contexts, regional outlook, and global concerns.

A Theological Response to Postmodernism

(Matthew 9:9-17)

The Master of the Church must be the Redeemer

Postmodernism has become pervasive in society. Individualism has taken center stage in much of our efforts to make a difference in Christendom. The individual, the perusal of knowledge that is listed as not being amoral, the worship of many gods, etc. have become, or are fast becoming expected norms within the Church and outside the Church.

If the church is to be what she needs to be in its program, personnel, and practice, there must be serious deliberation about letting Christ be the master of His Church. If indeed, the
Church’s mission is a redemptive task, then the Redeemer cannot be a non-entity. The shifting worldview and its implications for ministry is an opportunity to grasp. This postmodern worldview with is hopelessness for humanity and its acceptance of many gods provides for the Church, an opportunity to offer the master of the Church as a master of hope. Some Postmodernist thinking remains wrapped in the philosophy of Hegelianism and maybe more so in the thinking of later followers such as Feuerbach, who assessed religion as a human invention, and Freud, who assessed religion as applicable only for the weak. One Wikipedia article on “Postmodernism” states,

Some identify . . . [the roots of postmodernism] in the breakdown of Hegelian idealism, and the impact of both World Wars (perhaps even the concept of a World War). Heidegger and Derrida were influential in re-examining the fundamentals of knowledge, together with the work of Ludwig Wittgenstein and his philosophy of action, Soren Kierkegaard’s and Karl Barth’s important fideist approach to theology, and even the nihilism of Nietzsche’s philosophy (¶ 14).

The Master of the Church must be preached, taught, and represented. This can alter the trend of extreme humanism that treats God as an addendum.

*The Message of the Church must be Redemption*

Transformational ministry through the incarnate Christ now spiritually present is the starting point of ministry for the Church. The new wine of the Kingdom is the message of hope that Christ was manifested in the flesh, excruciatingly suffered, and humiliatingly died, and that this same Christ is presently the hope of the world and the reason for celebration of the potential promise.

The Church’s mandate is the message of redemption for both modern and postmodern thinking. The Church cannot afford to hang its head and wallow in ignominy, feeling sorry for herself, and feebly presenting a muffled whimper as representative of the powerful message of redemption through the Master of the Church. The message of redemption must be presented to a dying postmodern world. The message is a transformational message. It meets the need of the heart (the emotions, intellect, and will), it encompasses the body, soul, and spirit (the totality of the human person), and it includes every dimension of existence (the past, present, and the future).
The Method of the Church must be Relevant

“Neither is new wine put into old wineskins”? This is a chilling phrase. There is much talk about relevancy lately. There is the uninformed thinking that anything that is not from the present is not relevant. The assumption is that relevancy cannot be from things of the past. Wrong! Relevancy also has everything to do with the effective application of old truth to speak to the present situation. The method of the church must fit the need of the present. Old wineskins (methods) cannot contain and sustain new wine. New methodology has everything to do with sustainability of kingdom efforts. The Church cannot effectively teach theology with irrelevant methodology. The new wine must be contained in the new methodology. Earle (1966) wrote, “The new wine of Christianity must not be poured into the old wineskins of Judaism. It must have fresh concepts to convey new truths, a fresh language to communicate spiritual ideals“(p. 47).

Eerdmans Handbook to the Bible (1973) clarified the concept of methodology in this way:

Why does Jesus feast whereas John fasted? Luke 95:36-37 gives Jesus answer clearly. His radically new teaching . . . must find new forms of expression- or else the old will be destroyed and the new spoilt. Luke 5:39 is a perceptive comment on human nature- the conservatism which mistrusts anything new (p.481).

The dangerous position for the church is her lack of creativity in using methods that are relevant. The Master, message, and method of the Church can bring about a redemptive relevancy that matches the intensity of the postmodern mind.

Tying It Together

In this paper on postmodernism, I worked with the notion that not all aspects of postmodernity are good or bad. I also sought to bring positive meaning to postmodernity by searching for redemptive analysis.

Essentially I posited this: Understanding postmodernity and incorporating a theistic worldview with redeemable aspects of postmodernity can bring about effective relevancy to theological education. If we agree that we are living in a postmodern world, there must be a response to postmodernity. The church must have an academic, intellectual, artistic, biblical, cultural, and philosophical response to the present condition.
The question of relevancy is discussed in the paper. Postmodernity is a response and reaction to modernity. In postmodernity, there is no certainty to knowledge or known absolute truth (except that which is purported by the individual). Individual discourse is not all that bad. Rather individuality, the issues of integration, inclusion, and open dialogue are critical for theological education within the church.

The concept of diversifying education to meet the needs of populations that have become diverse is important. If diversity is relevant, there must be provision for meeting the demands of diversity. A response to postmodernity by way of inclusion, integration and relevance is necessary. However, the response must go through the filter of biblically, philosophically, theologically, educationally, and culturally specific contexts. The message of the church must have a particular relevancy that meets the postmodern mind across cultures.

As postmodernity reacted to modernity, the church must have its own reaction in order to be vibrant within the culture in which she lives. Perhaps, there can be a marriage of scientific enquiry, individual and cultural particularities (without advocating cultural relativism as always being pure and proper), and biblical/theological/philosophical enquiry.

I believe that the message is redemption. However, the method of delivering the message must be dynamic. With this mindset, homogeneous units of like ethnicity, color, and race operating out of individual corners is not a viable option for theological educational systems to be successful environments.

Postmodernity allows for a world that is interconnected in relation to the desire to explore and incorporate elements from different cultural perspectives. A Wesleyan theological education must display diversified integration by including dominant and sub-cultures in theological education curriculum design and instruction.

My fear is this: Failure to have true integration of the various world areas significantly included in the curriculum design and instruction, and other aspects of “family life” could lead to disenchantment, aggression, and dropout. There must be an integration of persons from all constituencies stimulating theological discussion, giving input in curriculum, and challenging notions for the entire church. Relevancy must take on specific contexts, regional outlooks, and global concerns.

The dangerous position for the church is her lack of creativity in using methods that are relevant. If secular postmodernity can allow individual discourse to occur, then the Church of the
Nazarene can allow individual discourses from all areas where the Church of the Nazarene
Church exists. The Master of the Church is best reflected by the church when there is an
integrative approach to issues of power being relinquished, an egalitarian approach is allowed,
lateral coordination is acceptable, and globalization is more than meeting in physical locations.

The balance I call for is the wedding of a theistic worldview with that of postmodern
concerns to effectively accomplish the universal missio dei for the Church. After our
methodology becomes relevant, the Master and the message will have the forceful impact in all
areas of the world. I call for an incessant tension between biblical truths and integrated cultural
particularities as a postmodernism response by the church. Whatever we do, we must ensure that
the Church does not hang its head and wallow in ignominy, feeling sorry for herself, and feebly
presenting a muffled whimper as representative of the powerful message of redemption through
the Master of the Church. The message of redemption must be presented to a dying postmodern
world. The message is a transformational message. It meets the need of the heart (the emotions,
intellect, and will), it encompasses the body, soul, and spirit (the totality of the human person),
and it includes every dimension of existence (the past, present, and the future). This is a
paradigm for both content and method in Wesleyan theological education.

Conclusion

So, what does the Church do in a postmodern climate? What are the implications for
ministry? What is the importance of relevancy? I propose this:

The doctrine of God must be at the center to combat the individualism of postmodern
thinking. The doctrine of truth must be absolute and biblical to combat the relativity of truth in
postmodern thinking. The doctrine of knowledge that leads to God and allows us to reflect His
color character must be presented to offset the tentative nature of postmodern knowledge. The doctrine
of God must be presented to show that God is good, holy, personal, and ruler of all, to combat
the postmodern thinking of many gods. Nature must be presented as being created and sustained
by God to meet the deconstructive postmodern mind. History must be presented as having
purpose and direction. Humanity must be presented as created in the image of God and
incorporated with the postmodern thinking that humans are both spiritual and relational. The
Church must be presented as the fortress of truth and incorporated with the postmodern thinking
that community and familial relationships are important. Mission must be global (theistic view),
regional (modern view), and local (postmodern view). In addition, the Church must be optimistic
in the midst of the pessimistic outlook of the postmodern mind. Finally, there must be an incessant tension between integrated cultural particularities and biblical truths.
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